

B6- The Importance of Universal Healthcare in Improving our Nation's Response to Pandemics and Health Disparities

I. Title: The Importance of Universal Healthcare in Improving our Nation's Response to Pandemics and Health Disparities

II. Author Identification

Liliya Kraynov, M.D.*

Organization: Oregon Health & Science University

Address: 1126 NE Imperial Ave. Portland, OR 97232

Phone number: 916-833-8542

Email: Liliya.kraynov@gmail.com

APHA member number: 10396980

APHA member unit affiliation: Medical Care Section, Community Health Planning & Policy Development, Injury Control & Emergency Health Services

Charles Cange, Ph.D., MSc.*

Organization: Delaware State University

Address: 1200 N. DuPont Highway, Dover, DE 19901

Phone number: 302-857-6060

Email: cange@uw.edu

APHA member number: 9972107

APHA member unit affiliation: Injury Control & Emergency Health Services, Environment

Tammy Pilisuk, M.P.H.

Organization: California Department of Public Health

Address: 850 Marina Bay Parkway

Phone number: 510-684-5734

Email: Tammy.Pilisuk@cdph.ca.gov

APHA member number: 9861765

APHA member unit affiliation: Community Health & Policy Development

Karin Rhodes, M.D.

Organization: Zucker School of Medicine at Hofstra Northwell Department of Emergency Medicine

Address: 300 Community Drive. Manhasset, NY 11050

Phone number: 215-421-1036

Email: kvrhodes@gmail.com

APHA member number: 4807710

B6- The Importance of Universal Healthcare in Improving our Nation's Response to Pandemics and Health Disparities

APHA member unit affiliation: Injury Control & Emergency Health Services

Cuoree Sippio, D.H.Sc., M.P.H.

Organization: Broward County Public Schools

Address: 600 SE Third Ave. Fort Lauderdale, FL 33301

Phone number: 754-321-0000

Email: sippcv@gmail.com

APHA member number: 10047608

APHA member unit affiliation: Pharmacy, Community Health Planning & Policy
Development

*Dr. Kraynov and Dr. Cange are primary co-authors. Dr. Cange will be the corresponding author with
APHA/JPC during the review process

III. Sponsorship/co-sponsorship

Public Health Social Work Section

Men's Health Caucus

Community Health Workers

IV. Collaborating units

This statement does not have collaborating units.

V. Endorsement

Chiropractic Health Care Section

Injury Control and Emergency Health Services

Podiatric Health Section

Sexual and Reproductive Health Section

Lesbian, Gay, Bisexual, and Transgender Caucus of Public Health Professionals

Women's Caucus

Arkansas Public Health Association

Colorado Public Health Association

Connecticut Public Health Association

Delaware Public Health Association

Metropolitan Washington Public Health Association

Nevada Public Health Association

Puerto Rico Public Health Association

Southern California Public Health Association

B6- The Importance of Universal Healthcare in Improving our Nation's Response to Pandemics and Health Disparities

1 Tennessee Public Health Association
2 Washington State Public Health Association
3 North Dakota Public Health Association
4 Alaska Public Health Association
5 Latino Caucus
6 Cancer Forum
7 Maternal and Child Health Section
8 Oklahoma Public Health Association
9 Community Health Planning and Policy Development Section
10 Mental Health Section
11 Vision Care Section
12 Caucus for Refugee and Immigrant Health
13 Alcohol, Tobacco, and Other Drugs Section
14

15 **VI. Summary**

16 The COVID pandemic adds a new sense of urgency to establish a universal health care system in the
17 United States. Our current system is inequitable, does not adequately cover vulnerable groups, is cost-
18 prohibitive, and lacks the flexibility to respond to periods of economic and health downturns. During
19 economic declines, our employer-supported insurance system results in millions of Americans losing
20 access to care. While the Affordable Care Act (ACA) significantly increased Americans' coverage, it
21 remains expensive and is under constant legal threat, making the ACA an unreliable conduit of care.
22 Relying on Medicaid as a safety net is untenable because, although enrollment has increased, states are
23 making significant Medicaid cuts to balance budgets. During the COVID-19 pandemic, countries with
24 universal health care leveraged their systems to mobilize resources and ensure testing and care for their
25 residents. Additionally, research shows that expanding health coverage decreases health disparities and
26 supports vulnerable populations' access to care.

27 This policy statement advocates for universal healthcare as adopted by the United Nations General
28 Assembly in October 2019 where "universal health coverage implies that all people have access, without
29 discrimination, to nationally determined sets of the needed promotive, preventive, curative, rehabilitative
30 and palliative essential health services, and essential, safe, affordable, effective and quality medicines and
31 vaccines, while ensuring that the use of these services does not expose the users to financial hardship,
32 with a special emphasis on the poor, vulnerable and marginalized segments of the population."¹

B6- The Importance of Universal Healthcare in Improving our Nation's Response to Pandemics and Health Disparities

We advocate for the overall goal of achieving a system that cares for everyone. We refrain from supporting one particular system, as the substantial topic of payment models deserves singular attention and is beyond the scope of this policy statement.

Relationship to existing APHA policy statements

We propose this statement replaces the following one, which is set to archive in 2020:

- APHA Policy Statement 200007: Support for a new Campaign for Universal Health Care

The following APHA policy statements support the purpose of this statement by advocating for health reform:

- APHA Policy Statement 200911: Public Health's Critical Role in Health Reform in the United States
- APHA Policy Statement 201415: Support for Social Determinants of Behavioral Health and Pathways for Integrated and Better Public Health

Additionally, this statement is consistent with the following APHA policies that reference public health's role in disaster response:

- APHA Policy Statement 20198: Public Health Support for Long-Term Responses in High-Impact, Post-disaster Settings
- APHA Policy Statement 6211(PP): The Role of State and Local Health Departments in Planning for Community Health Emergencies
- APHA Policy Statement 9116: Health Professionals and Disaster Preparedness
- APHA Policy Statement 20069: Response to Disasters: Protection of Rescue and Recovery Workers, Volunteers, and Residents Responding to Disasters

VII. Rationale for consideration

COVID-19 has wreaked havoc on the United States' health and economy. One particular consequence is that many Americans have lost their jobs and, as a result, their employer-sponsored health insurance. Without proper healthcare for all Americans, controlling the pandemic and stemming its spread becomes nearly impossible.

While the discussion of universal healthcare in the United States is not new, the COVID-19 pandemic adds a new urgency by focusing on the inaccessibility, inflexibility, and inequity in our current health system.² The abnormally high demand for health care during the pandemic makes this late-breaker policy statement particularly relevant for this year's meeting.

Rather than preclude adopting universal healthcare, economic and state crises can act as a catalyst to develop more inclusive and comprehensive systems. For instance, France, Japan, and the United

B6- The Importance of Universal Healthcare in Improving our Nation's Response to Pandemics and Health Disparities

Kingdom established universal healthcare in their recoveries after World War II and Rwanda also did so after the 1994 genocide. Both poor and rich countries have established universal healthcare. While the COVID-19 pandemic brings economic and public health challenges, it also provides the opportunity to reconstruct our healthcare system to make it more resilient, equitable, and accessible for all Americans.

VIII. Problem Statement

Background.

Discussions around universal health care in the United States started in the 1910s and have resurfaced periodically.[1] President Franklin D. Roosevelt attempted twice in the 1940s to establish universal health care and failed both times.[1] Eventually, the U.S. Congress passed Medicare and Medicaid in the 1960s. Universal health care more recently gained attention during debates on and eventual passage of the Affordable Care Act (ACA).[2]

To date, the U.S. government remains the largest payer of health care in the United States, covering nearly 90 million Americans through Medicare, Medicaid, TRICARE, and the Children's Health Insurance Program (CHIP).[3] However, this coverage is not universal, and many Americans were uninsured[4] or underinsured[5] before the COVID-19 pandemic.

The COVID-19 pandemic has exacerbated underlying issues in our current health care system and highlighted the urgent need for universal health care for all Americans.

Health care is inaccessible for many individuals in the United States

For many Americans, accessing health care is cost prohibitive.[6] Coverage under employer-based insurance is vulnerable to fluctuations in the economy. Due to the COVID-19 pandemic, an estimated 10 million Americans have lost their employer-sponsored health insurance by January 2021 as a result of job loss.[7] When uninsured or underinsured people refrain from seeking care secondary to cost issues, this leads to delayed diagnosis and treatment, promotes the spread of COVID-19, and may increase overall health care system costs.

The ACA reformed health care by, for instance, eliminating exclusions for preexisting conditions, requiring coverage of 10 standardized essential health care services, capping out-of-pocket expenses, and significantly increasing the number of insured Americans. However, many benefits remain uncovered, and out-of-pocket costs can vary considerably. For example, an ACA average deductible (\$3,064) is twice the rate of a private health plan (\$1,478).[4] Those living with a disability or chronic illness are likely to

B6- The Importance of Universal Healthcare in Improving our Nation's Response to Pandemics and Health Disparities

1 use more health services and pay more. A recent survey conducted during the COVID-19 pandemic
2 revealed that 38.2% of working adults and 59.6% of adults receiving unemployment benefits from the
3 Coronavirus Aid, Relief, and Economic Security (CARES) Act could not afford a \$400 expense,
4 highlighting that the COVID-19 pandemic has exacerbated lack of access to health care because of high
5 out-of-pocket expenses.[8] In addition, the ACA did not cover optometry or dental services for adults,
6 thereby inhibiting access to care even among the insured population.[9]

7
8 *Our current health care system cannot adequately respond to the pandemic and supply the care it*
9 *demands*

10
11 As in other economic downturns wherein people lost their employer-based insurance, more people
12 enrolled in Medicaid during the pandemic. States' efforts to cover their population, such as expanding
13 eligibility, allowing self-attestation of eligibility criteria, and simplifying the application process, also
14 increased Medicaid enrollment numbers.[10] The federal "maintenance of eligibility" requirements
15 further increased the number of people on Medicaid by postponing eligibility redeterminations. While
16 resuming eligibility redeterminations will cause some to lose coverage, many will remain eligible because
17 their incomes continue to fall below Medicaid income thresholds.[10]

18
19 An urgent need for coverage during the pandemic exists. Virginia's enrollment has increased by 20%
20 since March 2020. In Arizona, 78,000 people enrolled in Medicaid and CHIP in 2 months.[11] In New
21 Mexico, where 42% of the population was already enrolled in Medicaid, 10,000 more people signed up in
22 the first 2 weeks of April than expected before the pandemic.[11] Nearly two dozen million people who
23 lost their jobs during the pandemic could be eligible for Medicaid by January 2021.[12]

24
25 While increasing Medicaid enrollment can cover individuals who otherwise cannot afford care, it further
26 strains state budgets.[11] Medicaid spending represents a significant portion of states' budgets, making it
27 a prime target for cuts. Ohio announced \$210 million in cuts to Medicaid, a significant part of Colorado's
28 \$229 million in spending cuts came from Medicaid, Alaska cut \$31 million in Medicaid, and Georgia
29 anticipates 14% reductions overall.[11]

30
31 While Congress has authorized a 6.2% increase in federal Medicaid matching, this increase is set to
32 expire at the end of the public health emergency declaration (currently set for April, 20 2021[13] and is
33 unlikely to sufficiently make up the gap caused by increased spending and decreased revenue.[14] Given
34 the severity and projected longevity of the pandemic's economic consequences, many people will remain

B6- The Importance of Universal Healthcare in Improving our Nation's Response to Pandemics and Health Disparities

enrolled in Medicaid throughout state and federal funding cuts. This piecemeal funding strategy is unsustainable and will strain Medicaid, making accessibility even more difficult for patients.

Our health care system is inequitable

Racial disparities are embedded in our health care system and lead to worse COVID-19 health outcomes in minority groups. The first federal health care program, the medical division of the Freedmen's Bureau, was established arguably out of Congress's desire for newly emancipated slaves to return to working plantations in the midst of a smallpox outbreak in their community rather than out of concern for their well-being.[15] An effort in 1945 to expand the nation's health care system actually reinforced segregation of hospitals.[15] Moreover, similar to today, health insurance was employer based, making it difficult for Black Americans to obtain.

Although the 1964 Civil Rights Act outlawed segregation of health care facilities receiving federal funding and the 2010 ACA significantly benefited people of color, racial and sexual minority disparities persist today in our health care system. For example, under a distribution formula set by the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (DHHS), hospitals reimbursed mostly by Medicaid and Medicare received far less federal funding from the March 2020 CARES Act and the Paycheck Protection Program and Health Care Enhancement Act than hospitals mostly reimbursed by private insurance.[16] Hospitals in the bottom 10% based on private insurance revenue received less than half of what hospitals in the top 10% received. Medicare reimburses hospitals, on average, at half the rate of private insurers. Therefore, hospitals that primarily serve low-income patients received a disproportionately smaller share of total federal funding.[16]

Additional barriers for these communities include fewer and more distant testing sites, longer wait times,[17] prohibitive costs, and lack of a usual source of care.[18] Black Americans diagnosed with COVID-19 are more likely than their White counterparts to live in lower-income zip codes, to receive tests in the emergency department or as inpatients, and to be hospitalized and require care in an intensive care unit.[19] Nationally, only 20% of U.S. counties are disproportionately Black, but these counties account for 52% of COVID-19 diagnoses and 58% of deaths.[20] The pre-pandemic racial gaps in health care catalyzed pandemic disparities and will continue to widen them in the future.

Our health care system insufficiently covers vulnerable groups

About 14 million U.S. adults needed long-term care in 2018.[21] Medicare, employer-based insurance, and the ACA do not cover home- and community-based long-term care. Only private long-term care

B6- The Importance of Universal Healthcare in Improving our Nation's Response to Pandemics and Health Disparities

insurance and patchwork systems for Medicaid-eligible recipients cover such assistance. For those paying out of pocket, estimated home care services average \$51,480 to \$52,624 per year, with adult day services at more than \$19,500 per year.[22]

Our current health care system also inadequately supports individuals with mental illness. APHA officially recognized this issue in 2014, stating that we have “lacked an adequate and consistent public health response [to behavioral health disorders] for several reasons” and that the “treatment of mental health and substance use disorders in the United States has been provided in segregated, fragmented, and underfunded care settings.”[23]

The COVID-19 pandemic has brought urgency to the universal health care discussion in the United States. This is an unprecedented time, and the pandemic has exacerbated many of the existing problems in our current patchwork health care system. The COVID-19 pandemic is a watershed moment where we can reconstruct a fractured health insurance system into a system of universal health care.

IX. Evidence-Based Strategies to Address the Problem

We advocate for the definition of universal health care outlined in the 2019 resolution adopted by the United Nations General Assembly, which member nations signed on to, including the United States. According to this resolution, “universal health coverage implies that all people have access, without discrimination, to nationally determined sets of the needed promotive, preventive, curative, rehabilitative and palliative essential health services, and essential, safe, affordable, effective and quality medicines and vaccines, while ensuring that the use of these services does not expose the users to financial hardship, with a special emphasis on the poor, vulnerable and marginalized segments of the population.”[24]

Our current system is inaccessible, inflexible, and inequitable, and it insufficiently covers vulnerable populations. Here we present supporting evidence that universal health care can help address these issues.

Universal health care can increase accessibility to care

Evidence supporting universal health care is mostly limited to natural experiments and examples from other countries. Although countries with universal health care systems also struggle in containing the COVID-19 pandemic, their response and mortality outcomes are better owing to their robust universal systems.[25]

B6- The Importance of Universal Healthcare in Improving our Nation's Response to Pandemics and Health Disparities

While individuals in the United States lost health care coverage during the pandemic, individuals in countries with universal health care were able to maintain access to care.[26–28] Some European and East Asian countries continue to offer comprehensive, continuous care to their citizens during the pandemic.

Taiwan's single-payer national health insurance covers more than 99% of the country's population, allowing easy access to care with copayments of \$14 for physician visits and \$7 for prescriptions. On average, people in Taiwan see their physician 15 times per year.[27] Also, coronavirus tests are provided free of charge, and there are sufficient hospital isolation rooms for confirmed and suspected cases of COVID-19.[28]

Thai epidemiologists credit their universal health care system with controlling the COVID-19 pandemic.[29] They have described how their first patient, a taxi driver, sought medical attention unencumbered by doubts about paying for his care. They benefit from one of the lowest caseloads in the world.[29]

Universal health care is a more cohesive system that can better respond to health care demands during the pandemic and in future routine care

Leveraging its universal health care system, Norway began aggressively tracking and testing known contacts of individuals infected with COVID-19 as early as February 2020. Public health officials identified community spread and quickly shut down areas of contagion. By April 30, Norway had administered 172,586 tests and recorded 7,667 positive cases of COVID-19. Experts attribute Norway's success, in part, to its universal health care system.[26] Norway's early comprehensive response and relentless testing and tracing benefited the country's case counts and mortality outcomes.

Once China released the genetic sequence of COVID-19, Taiwan's Centers for Disease Control laboratory rapidly developed a test kit and expanded capacity via the national laboratory diagnostic network, engaging 37 laboratories that can perform 3,900 tests per day.[28] Taiwan quickly mobilized approaches for case identification, distribution of face masks, containment, and resource allocation by leveraging its national health insurance database and integrating it with the country's customs and immigration database daily.[28] Taiwan's system proved to be flexible in meeting disaster response needs.

Although these countries' success in containing COVID-19 varied, their universal health care systems allowed comprehensive responses.

B6- The Importance of Universal Healthcare in Improving our Nation's Response to Pandemics and Health Disparities

Universal health care can help decrease disparities and inequities in health

Several factors point to decreased racial and ethnic disparities under a universal health care model. CHIP's creation in 1997 covered children in low-income families who did not qualify for Medicaid; this coverage is associated with increased access to care and reduced racial disparities.[30] Similarly, differences in diabetes and cardiovascular disease outcomes by race, ethnicity, and socioeconomic status decline among previously uninsured adults once they become eligible for Medicare coverage.[31] While universal access to medical care can reduce health disparities, it does not eliminate them; health inequity is a much larger systemic issue that society needs to address.

Universal health care better supports the needs of vulnerable groups

The United States can adopt strategies from existing models in other countries with long-term care policies already in place. For example, Germany offers mandatory long-term disability and illness coverage as part of its national social insurance system, operated since 2014 by 131 nonprofit sickness funds. German citizens can receive an array of subsidized long-term care services without age restrictions.[32] In France, citizens 60 years and older receive long-term care support through an income-adjusted universal program.[33]

Universal health care can also decrease health disparities among individuals with mental illness. For instance, the ACA Medicaid expansion helped individuals with mental health concerns by improving access to care and effective mental health treatment.[34]

X. Opposing Arguments/Evidence

Universal health care is more expensive

Government spending on Medicare, Medicaid, and CHIP has been increasing and is projected to grow 6.3% on average annually between 2018 and 2028.[35] In 1968, spending on major health care programs represented 0.7% of the gross domestic product (GDP); in 2018 it represented 5.2% of the GDP, and it is projected to represent 6.8% in 2028.[35] These estimates do not account for universal health care, which, by some estimates, may add \$32.6 trillion to the federal budget during the first 10 years and equal 10% of the GDP in 2022.[36]

Counterpoint: Some models of single-payer universal health care systems estimate savings of \$450 billion annually.[37] Others estimate \$1.8 trillion in savings over a 10-year period.[38] In 2019, 17% of the U.S.

B6- The Importance of Universal Healthcare in Improving our Nation's Response to Pandemics and Health Disparities

1 GDP was spent on health care; comparable countries with universal health care spent, on average, only
2 8.8%.[39]

3
4 Counterpoint: Health care services in the United States are more expensive than in other economically
5 comparable countries. For example, per capita spending on inpatient and outpatient care (the biggest
6 driver of health care costs in the United States) is more than two times greater even with shorter hospital
7 stays and fewer physician visits.[40] Overall, the United States spends over \$5,000 more per person in
8 health costs than countries of similar size and wealth.[40]

9
10 Counterpoint: Administrative costs are lower in countries with universal health care. The United States
11 spends four times more per capita on administrative costs than similar countries with universal health
12 care.[41] Nine percent of U.S. health care spending goes toward administrative costs, while other
13 countries average only 3.6%. In addition, the United States has the highest growth rate in administrative
14 costs (5.4%), a rate that is currently double that of other countries.[41]

15
16 *Universal health care will lead to rationing of medical services, increase wait times, and result in care*
17 *that is inferior to that currently offered by the U.S. health care system.*

18 Opponents of universal health care point to the longer wait times of Medicaid beneficiaries and other
19 countries as a sign of worse care. It has been shown that 9.4% of Medicaid beneficiaries have trouble
20 accessing care due to long wait times, as compared with 4.2% of privately insured patients.[42] Patients
21 in some countries with universal health care, such as Canada and the United Kingdom, experience longer
22 wait times to see their physicians than patients in the United States.[43] In addition, some point to lower
23 cancer death rates in the United States than in countries with universal health care as a sign of a superior
24 system.[44]

25
26 Another concern is rationing of medical services due to increased demands from newly insured
27 individuals. Countries with universal health care use methods such as price setting, service restriction,
28 controlled distribution, budgeting, and cost-benefit analysis to ration services.[45]

29
30 Counterpoint: The United States already rations health care services by excluding patients who are unable
31 to pay for care. This entrenched rationing leads to widening health disparities. It also increases the
32 prevalence of chronic conditions in low-income and minority groups and, in turn, predisposes these
33 groups to disproportionately worse outcomes during the pandemic. Allocation of resources should not be

B6- The Importance of Universal Healthcare in Improving our Nation's Response to Pandemics and Health Disparities

determined by what patients can and cannot afford. This policy statement calls for high-value, evidence-based health care, which will reduce waste and decrease rationing.

Counterpoint: Opponents of universal health care note that Medicaid patients endure longer wait times to obtain care than privately insured patients[42] and that countries with universal health care have longer wait times than the United States.[43] Although the United States enjoys shorter wait times, this does not translate into better health outcomes. For instance, the United States has higher respiratory disease, maternal mortality, and premature death rates and carries a higher disease burden than comparable wealthy countries.[46]

Counterpoint: A review of more than 100 countries' health care systems suggests that broader coverage increases access to care and improves population health.

Counterpoint: While it is reasonable to assume that eliminating financial barriers to care will lead to a rise in health care utilization because use will increase in groups that previously could not afford care, a review of the implementation of universal health care in 13 capitalist countries revealed no or only small (less than 10%) post-implementation increases in overall health care use.[47] This finding was likely related to some diseases being treated earlier, when less intense utilization was required, as well as a shift in use of care from the wealthy to the poorest.[47]

XI. Alternative Strategies

States and the federal government can implement several alternative strategies to increase access to health care. However, these strategies are piecemeal responses, face legal challenges, and offer unreliable assurance for coverage. Importantly, these alternative strategies also do not necessarily or explicitly acknowledge health as a right.

State strategies

The remaining 14 states can adopt the Medicaid expansions in the ACA, and states that previously expanded can open new enrollment periods for their ACA marketplaces to encourage enrollment.[48]

While this is a strategy to extend coverage to many of those left behind, frequent legal challenges to the ACA and Medicaid cuts make it an unreliable source of coverage in the future. In addition, although many people gained insurance, access to care remained challenging due to prohibitively priced premiums and direct costs.

B6- The Importance of Universal Healthcare in Improving our Nation's Response to Pandemics and Health Disparities

Before the pandemic, the New York state legislature began exploring universal single-payer coverage, and the New Mexico legislature started considering a Medicaid buy-in option.[49] These systems would cover only residents of a particular state, and they remain susceptible to fluctuations in Medicaid cuts, state revenues, and business decisions of private contractors in the marketplace.

Federal government strategies

Congress can continue to pass legislation in the vein of the Families First Coronavirus Response Act and the CARES Act. These acts required all private insurers, Medicare, and Medicaid to cover COVID-19 testing, eliminate cost sharing, and set funds to cover testing for uninsured individuals. They fell short in requiring assistance with COVID-19 treatment. A strategy of incremental legislation to address the pandemic is highly susceptible to the political climate, is unreliable, and does not address non-COVID-19 health outcomes. Most importantly, this system perpetuates a fragmented response to the COVID-19 pandemic.

An additional option for the federal government is to cover the full costs of Medicaid expansion in the 14 states yet to expand coverage. If states increased expansion and enforced existing ACA regulations, nearly all Americans could gain health insurance.[50] This alternative is risky, however, due to frequent legal challenges to the ACA. Furthermore, high costs to access care would continue to exist.

XII. Action Steps

This statement reaffirms APHA's support of the right to health through universal health care. Therefore, APHA:

1. Urges Congress and the president to recognize universal health care as a right.
2. Urges Congress to fund and design and the president to enact and implement a comprehensive universal health care system that is accessible and affordable for all residents; that ensures access to rural populations, people experiencing homelessness, sexual minority groups, those with disabilities, and marginalized populations; that is not dependent on employment, medical or mental health status, immigration status, or income; that emphasizes high-value, evidence-based care; that includes automatic and mandatory enrollment; and that minimizes administrative burden.
3. Urges Congress and states to use the COVID-19 pandemic as a catalyst to develop an inclusive and comprehensive health care system that is resilient, equitable, and accessible.
4. Urges the DHHS, the Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality, the Institute of Medicine, the National Institutes of Health, academic institutions, researchers, and think tanks to examine

B6- The Importance of Universal Healthcare in Improving our Nation's Response to Pandemics and Health Disparities

equitable access to health care, including provision of mental health care, long-term care, dental care, and vision care.

5. Urges Congress, national health care leaders, academic institutions, hospitals, and each person living in the United States to recognize the harms caused by institutionalized racism in our health care system and collaborate to build a system that is equitable and just.
6. Urges Congress to mandate the Federal Register Standards for Accessible Medical Diagnostic Equipment to meet the everyday health care physical access challenges of children and adults with disabilities.
7. Urges national health care leaders to design a transition and implementation strategy that communicates the impact of a proposed universal health care system on individuals, hospitals, health care companies, health care workers, and communities.
8. Urges Congress, the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, the DHHS, and other public health partners, in light of the COVID-19 pandemic, to recognize the need for and supply adequate funding for a robust public health system. This public health system will prepare for, prevent, and respond to both imminent and long-term threats to public health, as previously supported in APHA Policy Statement 200911.

XIII. References

1. Palmer K. A brief history: universal health care efforts in the US. Available at: <https://pnhp.org/a-brief-history-universal-health-care-efforts-in-the-us/>. Accessed September 30, 2020.
2. Serakos M, Wolfe B. The ACA: impacts on health, access, and employment. *Forum Health Econ Policy*. 2016;19(2):201–259.
3. Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services. CMS roadmaps for the traditional fee-for-service program: overview. Available at: https://www.cms.gov/medicare/quality-initiatives-patient-assessment-instruments/qualityinitiativesgeninfo/downloads/roadmapoverview_oea_1-16.pdf. Accessed September 30, 2020.
4. Goldman AL, McCormick D, Haas JS, Sommers BD. Effects of the ACA's health insurance marketplaces on the previously uninsured: a quasi-experimental analysis. *Health Aff (Millwood)*. 2018;37(4):591–599.
5. Collins SR, Gunja MZ, Doty MM, Bhupal HK. Americans' views on health insurance at the end of a turbulent year. Available at: <https://www.commonwealthfund.org/publications/issue-briefs/2018/mar/americans-views-health-insurance-end-turbulent-year>. Accessed August 28, 2020.

B6- The Importance of Universal Healthcare in Improving our Nation's Response to Pandemics and Health Disparities

6. Tolbert J, Orgera K, Singer N, Damico A. Key facts about the uninsured population. Available at: <https://www.kff.org/uninsured/issue-brief/key-facts-about-the-uninsured-population/>. Accessed September 12, 2020.
7. Banthin J, Simpson M, Buettgens M, Blumberg LJ, Wang R. Changes in health insurance coverage due to the COVID-19 recession. Available at: <https://www.urban.org/research/publication/changes-health-insurance-coverage-due-covid-19-recession>. Accessed September 30, 2020.
8. Gaffney AW, Himmelstein DU, McCormick D, Woolhandler S. Health and social precarity among Americans receiving unemployment benefits during the COVID-19 outbreak. *J Gen Intern Med*. 2020;35(11):3416–3419.
9. Lutfiyya MN, Gross AJ, Soffe B, Lipsky MS. Dental care utilization: examining the associations between health services deficits and not having a dental visit in the past 12 months. *BMC Public Health*. 2019;19(1):265.
10. Rudowitz R, Hinton, E. Early look at Medicaid spending and enrollment trends amid COVID-19. Available at: <https://www.kff.org/coronavirus-covid-19/issue-brief/early-look-at-medicaid-spending-and-enrollment-trends-amid-covid-19/>. Accessed August 14, 2020.
11. Roubein R, Goldberg D. States cut Medicaid as millions of jobless workers look to safety net. Available at: <https://www.politico.com/news/2020/05/05/states-cut-medicaid-programs-239208>. Accessed August 14, 2020.
12. Garfield R, Claxton G, Damico A, Levitt L. Eligibility for ACA health coverage following job loss. Available at: <https://www.kff.org/coronavirus-covid-19/issue-brief/eligibility-for-aca-health-coverage-following-job-loss/>. Accessed August 14, 2020.
13. U.S. Department of Health and Human Services. Renewal of determination that a public health emergency exists. Available at: <https://www.phe.gov/emergency/news/healthactions/phe/Pages/covid19-2Oct2020.aspx>. Accessed September 30, 2020.
14. Rudowitz RC, Garfield R. How much fiscal relief can states expect from the temporary increase in the Medicaid FMAP? Available at: <https://www.kff.org/coronavirus-covid-19/issue-brief/how-much-fiscal-relief-can-states-expect-from-the-temporary-increase-in-the-medicaid-fmap/>. Accessed August 14, 2020.
15. Downs J. *Sick from Freedom: African-American Illness and Suffering during the Civil War and Reconstruction*. New York, NY: Oxford University Press; 2015.
16. Schwartz K, Damico A. Distribution of CARES Act funding among hospitals. Available at: https://www.kff.org/health-costs/issue-brief/distribution-of-cares-act-funding-among-hospitals/?utm_campaign=KFF-2020-Health-Costs&utm_source=hs_email&utm_medium=email&utm_content=2&_hsenc=p2ANqtz-

B6- The Importance of Universal Healthcare in Improving our Nation's Response to Pandemics and Health Disparities

- 1 _NBOAd_787Yk73Ach1gaH-KDgGLsgoe4vPuqKuidkHwExyNBpENTaB_1ofCIpXrzNoNCx8ACiem-
2 YqMKAF8-6Zv7xDXw&_hsmi=2. Accessed August 15, 2020.
- 3 17. Rader B, Astley CM, Sy KTL, et al. Geographic access to United States SARS-CoV-2 testing sites
4 highlights healthcare disparities and may bias transmission estimates. *J Travel Med.* 2020;27(7):taaa076.
- 5 18. Artiga S, Garfield R, Orgera K. Communities of color at higher risk for health and economic
6 challenges due to COVID-19. Available at: [https://www.kff.org/coronavirus-covid-19/issue-](https://www.kff.org/coronavirus-covid-19/issue-brief/communities-of-color-at-higher-risk-for-health-and-economic-challenges-due-to-covid-19/)
7 [brief/communities-of-color-at-higher-risk-for-health-and-economic-challenges-due-to-covid-19/](https://www.kff.org/coronavirus-covid-19/issue-brief/communities-of-color-at-higher-risk-for-health-and-economic-challenges-due-to-covid-19/).
8 Accessed August 14, 2020.
- 9 19. Azar K, Shen Z, Romanelli R, et al. Disparities in outcomes among COVID-19 patients in a large
10 health care system in California. *Health Aff (Millwood).* 2020;39(7):1253–1262.
- 11 20. Millett GA, Jones AT, Benkeser D, et al. Assessing differential impacts of COVID-19 on black
12 communities. *Ann Epidemiol.* 2020;47:37–44.
- 13 21. Hado E, Komisar H. Long-term services and supports. Available at: [https://www.aarp.org/ppi/info-](https://www.aarp.org/ppi/info-2017/long-term-services-and-supports.html)
14 [2017/long-term-services-and-supports.html](https://www.aarp.org/ppi/info-2017/long-term-services-and-supports.html). Accessed September 1, 2020.
- 15 22. GenWorth Financial. Cost of care survey. Available at: [https://www.genworth.com/aging-and-](https://www.genworth.com/aging-and-you/finances/cost-of-care.html)
16 [you/finances/cost-of-care.html](https://www.genworth.com/aging-and-you/finances/cost-of-care.html). Accessed September 1, 2020.
- 17 23. American Public Health Association. Policy statement 201415: support for social determinants of
18 behavioral health and pathways for integrated and better public health. Available at:
19 [https://www.apha.org/policies-and-advocacy/public-health-policy-statements/policy-](https://www.apha.org/policies-and-advocacy/public-health-policy-statements/policy-database/2015/01/28/14/58/support-for-social-determinants-of-behavioral-health)
20 [database/2015/01/28/14/58/support-for-social-determinants-of-behavioral-health](https://www.apha.org/policies-and-advocacy/public-health-policy-statements/policy-database/2015/01/28/14/58/support-for-social-determinants-of-behavioral-health). Accessed September 1,
21 2020.
- 22 24. UN General Assembly. Resolution adopted by the General Assembly on 10 October 2019—political
23 declaration of the high-level meeting on universal health coverage. Available at:
24 [https://www.un.org/pga/73/wp-content/uploads/sites/53/2019/07/FINAL-draft-UHC-Political-](https://www.un.org/pga/73/wp-content/uploads/sites/53/2019/07/FINAL-draft-UHC-Political-Declaration.pdf)
25 [Declaration.pdf](https://www.un.org/pga/73/wp-content/uploads/sites/53/2019/07/FINAL-draft-UHC-Political-Declaration.pdf). Accessed September 30, 2020.
- 26 25. Sommers BD, Baicker K, Epstein AM. Mortality and access to care among adults after state Medicaid
27 expansions. *N Engl J Med.* 2012;367(11):1025–1034.
- 28 26. Jones A. I left Norway's lockdown for the US: the difference is shocking. Available at:
29 <https://www.thenation.com/article/world/coronavirus-norway-lockdown/>. Accessed September 1, 2020.
- 30 27. Maizland L. Comparing six health-care systems in a pandemic. Available at:
31 <https://www.cfr.org/background/comparing-six-health-care-systems-pandemicX>. Accessed August 20,
32 2020.
- 33 28. Wang CJ, Ng CY, Brook RH. Response to COVID-19 in Taiwan: big data analytics, new technology,
34 and proactive testing. *JAMA.* 2020;323(14):1341–1342.

B6- The Importance of Universal Healthcare in Improving our Nation's Response to Pandemics and Health Disparities

29. Gharib M. Universal health care supports Thailand's coronavirus strategy. Available at: <https://www.npr.org/2020/06/28/884458999/universal-health-care-supports-thailands-coronavirus-strategy>. Accessed August 30, 2020.
30. Shone LP, Dick AW, Klein JD, Zwanziger J, Szilagyi PG. Reduction in racial and ethnic disparities after enrollment in the State Children's Health Insurance Program. *Pediatrics*. 2005;115(6):e697–e705.
31. McWilliams JM, Meara E, Zaslavsky AM, Ayanian JZ. Health of previously uninsured adults after acquiring Medicare coverage. *JAMA*. 2007;298(24):2886–2894.
32. Rhee JC, Done N, Anderson GF. Considering long-term care insurance for middle-income countries: comparing South Korea with Japan and Germany. *Health Policy*. 2015;119(10):1319–1329.
33. Doty P, Nadash P, Racco N. Long-term care financing: lessons from France. *Milbank Q*. 2015;93(2):359–391.
34. Wen H, Druss BG, Cummings JR. Effect of Medicaid expansions on health insurance coverage and access to care among low-income adults with behavioral health conditions. *Health Serv Res*. 2015;50(6):1787–1809.
35. Congressional Budget Office. Projections of federal spending on major health care programs. Available at: <https://www.cbo.gov/system/files/115th-congress-2017-2018/presentation/53887-presentation.pdf>. Accessed October 12, 2020.
36. Blahous C. The costs of a national single-payer healthcare system. Available at: <https://www.mercatus.org/publications/government-spending/costs-national-single-payer-healthcare-system>. Accessed October 10, 2020.
37. Galvani AP, Parpia AS, Foster EM, Singer BH, Fitzpatrick MC. Improving the prognosis of health care in the USA. *Lancet*. 2020;395(10223):524–533.
38. Friedman G. Funding HR 676: the Expanded and Improved Medicare for All Act. How we can afford a national single-payer health plan. Available at: https://www.pnhp.org/sites/default/files/Funding%20HR%20676_Friedman_7.31.13_proofed.pdf. Accessed September 15, 2020.
39. Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development. Health expenditure and financing. Available at: <https://stats.oecd.org/Index.aspx?ThemeTreeId=9>. Accessed September 27, 2020.
40. Kurani N, Cox C. What drives health spending in the U.S. compared to other countries? Available at: <https://www.healthsystemtracker.org/brief/what-drives-health-spending-in-the-u-s-compared-to-other-countries/>. Accessed September 30, 2020.
41. Tollen L, Keating E, Weil A. How administrative spending contributes to excess US health spending. Available at: <https://www.healthaffairs.org/doi/10.1377/hblog20200218.375060/abs/>. Accessed August 30, 2020.

B6- The Importance of Universal Healthcare in Improving our Nation's Response to Pandemics and Health Disparities

42. U.S. Government Accountability Office. Medicaid: states made multiple program changes, and beneficiaries generally reported access comparable to private insurance. Available at: <https://www.gao.gov/assets/650/649788.pdf>. Accessed August 30, 2020.

43. How Canada Compares: Results from the Commonwealth Fund's 2016 International Health Policy Survey of Adults in 11 Countries. Ottawa, Ontario, Canada: Canadian Institute for Health Information; 2017.

44. Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development. Deaths from cancer: total, per 100,000 persons, 2018 or latest available. Available at: <https://data.oecd.org/healthstat/deaths-from-cancer.htm>. Accessed October 12, 2020.

45. Hoffman B. Health Care for Some: Rights and Rationing in the United States since 1930. Chicago, IL: University of Chicago Press; 2012.

46. Kurani N, McDermott D, Shanosky N. How does the quality of the U.S. healthcare system compare to other countries? Available at: <https://www.healthsystemtracker.org/chart-collection/quality-u-s-healthcare-system-compare-countries/#item-start>. Accessed September 20, 2020.

47. Gaffney A, Woolhandler S, Himmelstein D. The effect of large-scale health coverage expansions in wealthy nations on society-wide healthcare utilization. *J Gen Intern Med*. 2020;35(8):2406–2417.

48. King JS. COVID-19 and the need for health care reform. *N Engl J Med*. 2020;382(26):e104.

49. Hughes M. COVID-19 proves that we need universal health care. States are exploring their options. Available at: <https://rooseveltinstitute.org/2020/06/25/covid-19-proves-that-we-need-universal-health-care-states-are-exploring-their-options/>. Accessed September 1, 2020.

50. Blumenthal D, Fowler EJ, Abrams M, Collins SR. COVID-19—implications for the health care system. *N Engl J Med*. 2020;383(15):1483–1488.