

## How members of Congress supported public health APHA annual congressional record

**A**S PART OF the Association's continuing effort to inform its members on the nation's public health policies, APHA annually publishes a record of how members of Congress voted on particular issues of importance to public health.

The following pages contain tables of some of the most important public health votes cast in the House of Representatives and Senate during the second session of the 109th Congress in 2006. The list was compiled by examining hundreds of individual votes and selecting key public health votes.

Readers are encouraged to examine the tables to see how members of Congress voted in relation to APHA positions and to discuss the votes with their legislators. Readers also are encouraged to circle the votes and post them where others can view them.

Voting records are one way APHA members can hold their representatives and senators accountable for decisions made in the legislative process. Such records can help indicate the support for public health among members of Congress.

Staff from APHA's Department of Government Relations selected the votes for the record.

### Voting record limitations

Special caveats must be made about any voting record. First, only votes on selected pieces of public health-related legislation are included in this tally. Thus, the percentage columns, which are the last two columns in the tables, may not be complete reflections of the number of times a member voted with an APHA position during those years. The columns depict only the percentage of times a member voted with APHA's position on the legislation included in the record.

Second, the records cannot demonstrate the number of responsible legislation proposals a legislator introduces; leadership in committees, where much important

work is done; influence with other members; or contributions related to intensity of feeling or level of expertise.

Third, many members of Congress support bills that are never brought up for a vote. During the second session of the 109th Congress, APHA encouraged members to co-sponsor dozens of important public health bills.

Therefore, readers of the report should not base their judgment of a member's performance solely on this report.

### Selection of votes

To select votes for this record, APHA staff reviewed roll call votes from the second session of the 109th Congress in 2006. Staff attempted to select a wide range of public health votes, such as those on public health funding, environmental health, prescription drug costs and reproductive choice, which would reflect the variety of public health interests of the Association.

The Association tally is limited to floor roll call votes.

The following criteria were used in the final selection of votes:

- ◆ importance to public health programs,
- ◆ degree to which the vote involved issues of priority to APHA, and
- ◆ degree to which the issues reflected in the vote were not covered by other votes in the record.

### How to read the report

The report is divided into sections for the House of Representatives and Senate.

Each section begins with explanations of each vote, including a statement of the Association's stance. Also included in each section is a voting table, number-keyed to the vote explanations and noting

how each member voted, as well as APHA's positions.

◆ The plus sign represents agreement with the APHA position and the minus sign represents disagreement.

◆ "NM" signifies a member who was not in office during the time of the vote (for instance, because they resigned, were newly-elected or were deceased), or was not in Congress the preceding year.

◆ "I" signifies that a member did not vote in that roll call, and are not included in the member's percentage for 2005.

◆ "P" represents a member who voted "present" and is not used in computing the member's percentage.

◆ "AL" stands for an at-large member of the House of Representatives.

◆ "S" designates a vote in which the speaker of the House did not participate.

The two percentage figures at the end of each legislator's line reflects how often the legislator agreed with APHA's position on the selected votes during either the second session of the 109th Congress (the 2006 column) or the first session of the 109th Congress (the 2005 column, reported in the March 2006 issue of *The Nation's Health*).

The 2006 percentage column is a tabulation of the information in the prior columns and calculated solely for the reader's convenience. An asterisk after the percentage indicates the member was not recorded on all of the selected votes and the percentage is based only on the votes for which a "yea" or "nay" was recorded.

The vote tally is printed for the edification of public health professionals. A PDF copy of the tally will be available for download from *The Nation's Health* Web site with an APHA password in February.

For more information, call Don Hoppert, APHA's director of government affairs, at (202) 777-2514 or e-mail <donald.hoppert@apha.org>. ■



Photo by Michele Late

## Five 2006 House votes examined

**T**HE FOLLOWING ARE short explanations of five House votes taken in the U.S. House of Representatives during the 109th Congress, second session, during calendar year 2006.

In italics is a short tag name given each vote for easy reference, followed by the official bill number, title of the legislation and a description of the actual vote taken, such as whether to add an amendment, delete a portion of the bill, table a motion, pass the legislation or other action. Within the explanation is the final tally of the vote and the tally by Republicans, Democrats and independents. A brief statement of APHA's stance and examples of relevant APHA policies follow the explanation.

The explanations are number-keyed to the columns of the voting tables, which show how each representative voted. The plus sign represents a vote in accord with APHA's position and the minus sign represents a vote against APHA's position.

### 1. Budget reconciliation (House roll call vote 4)

**S. 1932:** Deficit Reduction Act of 2005. Adoption of H. Res. 653, a self-executing rule to agree to the Senate amended bill. Adoption of the resolution, Feb. 1, 2006. The budget reconciliation package cut more than \$39 billion over five years from programs to help the poor and disadvantaged. Specifically, the bill cut nearly \$5 billion in funding from the Medicaid program by increasing Medicaid co-payments and premiums, reducing the health services for which many beneficiaries

are covered and restricting eligibility for Medicaid long-term care services. The bill also contained a reduction of \$6.4 billion to the Medicare program through Medicare Part B premium increases. Adopted 216-214: R 216-13; D 0-200; I 0-1.

**APHA opposed S. 1932.** APHA has historically supported the Medicaid and Medicare programs and efforts to expand the benefits under these vital public health services. The proposed

See HOUSE VOTES, Page 12

**HOUSE VOTES,**  
Continued from Page 11

cuts in the reconciliation package are contrary to APHA's long-standing efforts to defend the health and well-being of the nation's most vulnerable citizens including those on low and fixed incomes and our nation's senior citizens. Relevant APHA policies: 20034, 20018, 9602 and others.

**2. Food labeling restrictions (House roll call vote 32)**

**H.R. 4167:** National Uniformity for Food Act. Passage of the bill, March 8, 2006. The bill would prohibit state and local governments from establishing or continuing food safety and labeling requirements that are not identical to federal requirements — even in cases where the federal government has taken no action at all. More than 200 state food safety and labeling statutes would be affected. Passed 283–139: R 212–13; D 71–125; I 0–1.

**APHA opposed H.R. 4167.** APHA has long voiced concerns about food safety in the United States and supported the adoption of labels that facilitate consumer choice and inform consumers about potential food risks and the nutritional qualities of food. In the absence of adequate federal protections against many food risks, state and local governments have stepped in to fill the void. However, the National Uniformity for Food Act would effectively roll back many such laws. Relevant APHA policies: LB-06-03, 200414, 200111 and others.

**3. Fiscal year 2007 health and education funding (House roll call vote 158)**

**H. Con. Res. 376:** Fiscal Year 2007 Congressional Budget Resolution. Passage of the resolution, May 18, 2006. H. Con. Res. 376 included cuts to a number of vital public health programs including prevention programs at

APHA Vote No. APHA Position: Yea/Nay	BUDGET RECONCILIATION FOOD LABELING RESTRICTIONS HEALTH, EDUCATION FUNDING TOXICS RELEASE INVENTORY ABORTION NOTIFICATION					2006	2005
	1	2	3	4	5		
	N	N	N	Y	N		
<b>ALABAMA</b>							
1 Bonner (R)	-	-	-	-	-	0	12
2 Everett (R)	-	-	-	-	-	0	0*
3 Rogers, Mike D. (R)	-	-	-	-	-	0	25
4 Aderholt (R)	-	-	-	-	-	0	12
5 Cramer (D)	+	-	+	-	-	40	88
6 Bachus, S. (R)	-	-	-	-	-	0	12
7 Davis, A. (D)	+	-	+	+	-	60	88
<b>ALASKA</b>							
AL Young, D. (R)	-	-	-	-	-	0	0*
<b>ARIZONA</b>							
1 Renzi (R)	-	-	+	-	-	20	38
2 Franks, T. (R)	-	-	-	I	-	0*	12
3 Shadegg (R)	-	-	-	I	-	0*	12
4 Pastor (D)	+	+	+	+	+	100	100
5 Hayworth (R)	-	-	-	I	-	0*	12
6 Flake (R)	-	+	-	I	-	25*	0
7 Grijalva (D)	+	+	+	+	+	100	100
8 Kolbe (R)	-	-	-	I	-	0*	14
<b>ARKANSAS</b>							
1 Berry (D)	+	-	+	-	-	40	88
2 Snyder (D)	+	+	+	+	-	80	88
3 Boozman (R)	-	-	-	-	-	0	12
4 Ross (D)	+	-	+	-	-	40	88
<b>CALIFORNIA</b>							
1 Thompson, M. (D)	+	+	+	+	+	100	100
2 Herger (R)	-	-	-	-	-	0	12
3 Lungren (R)	-	+	-	-	-	20	12
4 Doolittle (R)	-	-	-	-	-	0	12
5 Matsui (D)	+	+	+	+	+	100	100
6 Woolsey (D)	+	+	+	+	+	100	100
7 Miller, George (D)	+	+	+	+	+	100	100
8 Pelosi (D)	+	+	+	+	+	100	100
9 Lee (D)	+	+	+	+	+	100	100
10 Tauscher (D)	+	+	+	+	+	100	100
11 Pombo (R)	-	-	-	-	I	0*	12
12 Lantos (D)	+	+	+	+	+	100	100
13 Stark (D)	+	+	+	+	+	100	100
14 Eshoo (D)	+	+	+	+	+	100	100
15 Honda (D)	+	+	+	+	+	100	100
16 Lofgren (D)	+	+	+	+	+	100	100
17 Farr (D)	+	+	+	+	+	100	100
18 Cardoza (D)	+	-	+	-	-	40	88
19 Radanovich (R)	-	-	-	-	-	0	14*
20 Costa (D)	+	I	+	-	-	50*	88
21 Nunes (R)	-	-	-	-	-	0	25
22 Thomas, B. (R)	-	I	-	-	-	0*	25
23 Capps (D)	+	+	+	+	+	100	100
24 Gallegly (R)	-	-	-	-	-	0	12
25 McKeon (R)	-	-	-	-	-	0	12
26 Dreier (R)	-	-	-	-	-	0	12
27 Sherman (D)	+	+	+	+	+	100	100
28 Berman (D)	+	+	+	+	+	100	100
29 Schiff (D)	+	+	+	+	+	100	100
30 Waxman (D)	+	+	+	+	+	100	100
31 Becerra (D)	+	+	+	+	+	100	100
32 Solis (D)	+	+	+	+	+	100	100
33 Watson (D)	+	+	+	+	+	100	100
34 Roybal-Allard (D)	+	+	+	+	+	100	100*
35 Waters (D)	+	+	+	+	+	100	100
36 Harman (D)	+	+	+	+	+	100	100*
37 Millender-McDonald (D)	+	-	+	+	I	75*	100
38 Napolitano (D)	+	+	+	+	+	100	100
39 Sanchez, Linda (D)	+	+	+	+	+	100	100
40 Royce (R)	-	-	-	-	-	0	12
41 Lewis, Jerry (R)	-	-	-	-	-	0	12
42 Miller, Gary (R)	I	-	-	-	-	0*	14*
43 Baca (D)	+	+	+	+	+	100	86*
44 Calvert (R)	-	-	-	-	-	0	12
45 Bono (R)	-	+	-	-	-	20	12
46 Rohrabacher (R)	-	-	-	-	-	0	12
47 Sanchez, Loretta (D)	+	+	+	+	+	100	100*
48 Campbell (R)	-	-	-	-	-	0	0
49 Issa (R)	I	I	I	I	I	0*	0
50 Bilbray (R)	-	-	-	-	-	0	12
51 Filner (D)	NM	NM	NM	NM	-	0*	NM
52 Hunter (R)	+	+	+	+	+	100	100
53 Davis, S. (D)	+	+	+	+	+	100	100
<b>COLORADO</b>							
1 DeGette (D)	+	+	+	+	+	100	100*
2 Udall, M. (D)	+	+	+	+	+	100	100
3 Salazar, J. (D)	+	I	+	-	-	50*	88
4 Musgrave (R)	-	-	-	I	-	0*	12

APHA Vote No. APHA Position: Yea/Nay	BUDGET RECONCILIATION FOOD LABELING RESTRICTIONS HEALTH, EDUCATION FUNDING TOXICS RELEASE INVENTORY ABORTION NOTIFICATION					2006	2005
	1	2	3	4	5		
	N	N	N	Y	N		
5 Hefley (R)	-	-	-	-	-	0	12
6 Tancredo (R)	-	-	-	-	-	0	0
7 Beauprez (R)	-	-	-	-	-	0	12
<b>CONNECTICUT</b>							
1 Larson, J. (D)	+	I	I	I	+	100*	100
2 Simmons (R)	+	-	-	+	+	60	75
3 DeLauro (D)	+	+	+	+	+	100	100
4 Shays (R)	-	+	-	+	+	60	62
5 Johnson, N. (R)	-	-	-	+	+	40	62
<b>DELAWARE</b>							
AL Castle (R)	-	-	-	+	I	25*	50
<b>FLORIDA</b>							
1 Miller, J. (R)	-	-	-	-	-	0	12
2 Boyd, A. (D)	+	-	+	+	-	60	86*
3 Brown, C. (D)	+	+	+	+	+	100	100*
4 Crenshaw (R)	-	-	-	-	-	0	12
5 Brown-Waite, G. (R)	-	-	-	-	-	0	12
6 Stearns (R)	-	-	-	-	-	0	25
7 Mica (R)	-	-	-	-	-	0	12
8 Keller (R)	-	-	-	-	-	0	12
9 Bilirakis (R)	-	-	-	-	-	0	12
10 Young, C.W. (R)	-	+	-	+	-	40	14*
11 Davis, Jim (D)	+	+	+	+	I	100*	100
12 Putnam (R)	-	-	-	-	-	0	12
13 Harris (R)	-	-	-	-	-	0	12
14 Mack (R)	-	-	-	-	-	0	12
15 Weldon (R)	-	-	-	-	-	0	12
16 Foley (R)	-	+	-	+	-	40	12
17 Meek, K. (D)	+	-	+	+	+	80	100*
18 Ros-Lehtinen (R)	-	-	-	+	-	20	14*
19 Wexler (D)	+	+	+	+	+	100	100
20 Wasserman-Schultz (D)	+	+	+	+	+	100	100
21 Diaz-Balart, L. (R)	-	-	-	-	-	0	12
22 Shaw (R)	-	-	-	+	-	20	12
23 Hastings, A. (D)	+	+	+	+	+	100	88
24 Feeney (R)	-	-	-	-	-	0	14*
25 Diaz-Balart, M. (R)	-	-	-	-	-	0	14*
<b>GEORGIA</b>							
1 Kingston (R)	-	-	-	-	-	0	12
2 Bishop, S. (D)	+	-	+	+	-	60	88
3 Marshall (D)	+	-	+	+	-	40	75
4 McKinney (D)	+	+	+	+	+	100	100
5 Lewis, John (D)	+	+	+	+	I	100*	100*
6 Price, T. (R)	-	-	-	-	-	0	12
7 Linder (R)	-	-	-	-	-	0	12
8 Westmoreland (R)	-	-	-	-	-	0	0
9 Norwood (R)	-	I	-	-	-	0*	12
10 Deal (R)	-	-	-	-	-	0	12
11 Gingrey (R)	-	-	-	-	-	0	12
12 Barrow (D)	+	-	+	-	-	40	88
13 Scott, D. (D)	+	-	+	+	+	80	100
<b>HAWAII</b>							
1 Abercrombie (D)	+	+	+	+	+	100	100
2 Case (D)	+	+	+	+	+	100	100
<b>IDAHO</b>							
1 Otter (R)	-	-	+	+	-	40	12
2 Simpson (R)	-	-	-	-	-	0	12
<b>ILLINOIS</b>							
1 Rush (D)	+	-	+	+	+	80	100
2 Jackson, J. (D)	+	+	+	+	+	100	100
3 Lipinski (D)	+	-	+	+	-	60	88
4 Gutierrez (D)	+	+	+	+	+	100	100*
5 Emanuel (D)	+	-	+	+	+	80	100*
6 Hyde (R)	-	-	-	-	-	0	17
7 Davis, Danny (D)	+	-	+	+	+	80	100
8 Bean (D)	+	-	+	+	+	80	100
9 Schakowsky (D)	+	+	+	+	+	100	100
10 Kirk (R)	-	-	-	+	+	40	38
11 Weller (R)	-	-	-	+	+	20	12
12 Costello (D)	+	-	+	+	-	60	88
13 Biggert (R)	-	-	-	-	+	20	25
14 Hastert (R)	-	S	-	S	S	0*	0*
15 Johnson, Timothy (R)	+	-	+	+	-	60	62
16 Manzullo (R)	-	-	-	-	-	0	12
17 Evans (D)	+	I	I	I	I	100*	100
18 LaHood (R)	-	-	-	-	-	0	25
19 Shimkus (R)	-	-	-	-	-	0	25
<b>INDIANA</b>							
1 Visclosky (D)	+	+	+	+	+	100	100
2 Chocola (R)	-	-	-	-	-	0	12
3 Souder (R)	-	-	-	-	-	0	12

APHA Vote No. APHA Position: Yea/Nay	BUDGET RECONCILIATION FOOD LABELING RESTRICTIONS HEALTH, EDUCATION FUNDING TOXICS RELEASE INVENTORY ABORTION NOTIFICATION					2006	2005
	1	2	3	4	5		
	N	N	N	Y	N		
<b>IOWA</b>							
4 Buyer (R)	-	-	-	-	-	0	25
5 Burton (R)	-	I	-	-	-	0*	12
6 Pence (R)	-	-	-	-	-	0	12
7 Carson (D)	+	+	+	+	+	100	100
8 Hostettler (R)	-	-	+	-	-	20	14*
9 Sodrel (R)	-	-	-	-	-	0	12
1 Nussle (R)	-	-	-	-	I	0*	12
2 Leach (R)	+	-	-	I	-	25*	50
3 Boswell (D)	+	-	+	+	-	60	83*
4 Latham (R)	-	-	-	-	-	0	12
5 King, S. (R)	-	-	-	-	-	0	0
<b>KANSAS</b>							
1 Moran, Jerry (R)	-	-	-	-	-	0	25
2 Ryun, J. (R)	-	-	-	-	-	0	12
3 Moore, D. (D)	+	-	+	+	+	80	100
4 Tiaht (R)	-	-	-	-	-	0	12
<b>KENTUCKY</b>							
1 Whitfield (R)	-	-	-	-	-	0	12
2 Lewis, R. (R)	-	-	-	-	-	0	12
3 Northup (R)	-	-	-	-	-	0	12
4 Davis, G. (R)	-	-	-	-	-	0	12
5 Rogers, H. (R)	-	-	-	-	-	0	12
6 Chandler (D)	+	-	+	+	-	60	88
<b>LOUISIANA</b>							
1 Jindal (R)	-	-	-	-	-	0	12
2 Jefferson (D)	+	-	+	+	I	75*	100
3 Melancon (D)	+	-	+	-	-	40	88
4 McCrery (R)	-	-	-	-	-	0	0*
5 Alexander, R. (R)	-	-	-	-	-	0	12
6 Baker (R)	-	-	-	-	-	0	0*
7 Boustany (R)	-	-	-	-	-	0	12
<b>MAINE</b>							
1 Allen (D)	+	+	+	+	+	100	100
2 Michaud (D)	+	-	+	+	+	80	100
<b>MARYLAND</b>							
1 Gilchrest (R)	-	-	-	+	+	40	25
2 Ruppberger (D)	+	-	+	+	+	80	100
3 Cardin (D)	+	+	+	+	+	100	100
4 Wynn (D)	+	+	+	+	+	80	100
5 Hoyer (D)	+	+	+	+	+	100	100
6 Bartlett (R)	-	-	-	+	-	20	12
7 Cummings (D)	+	+	+	+	+	100	100
8 Van Hollen (D)	+	+	+	+	+	100	100
<b>MASSACHUSETTS</b>							
1 Olver (D)	+	+	+	+	+	100	100*
2 Neal (D)	+	+	+	+	+	100	100
3 McGovern (D)	+	+	+	+	+	100	100
4 Frank, B. (D)	+	+	+	+	+	100	100
5 Meehan (D)	+	+	+	+	I	100*	100
6 Tierney (D)	+	+	+	+	+	100	100
7 Markey (D)	+	+	+	+	+	100	100
8 Capuano (D)	+	+	+	+	+	100	100
9 Lynch (D)	+	+	+	+	-	80	88
10 Delahunt (D)	+	-	+	+	+	80	100*
<b>MICHIGAN</b>							
1 Stupak (D)	+	+	I	I	-	67*	88
2 Hoekstra (R)	-	-	-	-	-	0	12
3 Ehlers (R)	-	-	-	+	-	20	12
4 Camp (R)	-	-	-	-	-	0	14*
5 Kildee (D)	+	+	+	+	-	80	88
6 Upton (R)	-	-	-	+	-	20	12
7 Schwarz, J. (R)	-	-	-	+	-	20	12
8 Rogers, Mike (R)	-	-	-	-	-	0	12
9 Knollenberg (R)	-	-	-	-	-	0	12
10 Miller, C. (R)	-	-	-	-	-	0	12
11 McCotter (R)	-	-	-	-	-	0	25
12 Levin, S. (D)	+	+	+	+	+	100	100
13 Kilpatrick (D)	+	+	+	+	+	100	100
14 Conyers (D)	+	+	+	+	+	100	100
15 Dingell (D)	+	+	+	+	+	100	100
<b>MINNESOTA</b>							
1 Gutknecht (R)	-	-	-	I	-	0*	25
2 Kline, J. (R)	-	-	-	-	-	0	12
3 Ramstad (R)	+	+	+	+	-	60	50
4 McCollum (D)	+	+	+	+	+	100	100
5 Sabo (D)	+	+	+	+	+	100	100
6 Kennedy, M. (R)	-	-	-	-	-	0	12
7 Peterson, C. (D)	+	-	+	-	-	40	75
8 Oberstar (D)	+	+	+	+	-	80	88

APHA Vote No. APHA Position: Yea/Nay	BUDGET RECONCILIATION FOOD LABELING RESTRICTIONS HEALTH, EDUCATION FUNDING TOXICS RELEASE INVENTORY ABORTION NOTIFICATION					2006	2005
	1	2	3	4	5		
	N	N	N	Y	N		
<b>MISSISSIPPI</b>							
1 Wicker (R)	-	-	-	-	-	0	14*
2 Thompson, B. (D)	+	-	+	+	+	80	100
3 Pickering (R)	-	-	-	-	-	0	25
4 Taylor (D)	+	-	+	+	-	60	86*
<b>MISSOURI</b>							
1 Clay (D)	+	-	+	+	+	80	88
2 Akin (R)	-	-	-	-	-	0	12
3 Carnahan (D)	+	+	+	+	+	100	100
4 Skelton (D)	+	-	+	+	-	60	88
5 Cleaver (D)	+	-	+	+	+	80	100
6 Graves (R)	-	-	-	-	-	0	12
7 Blunt (R)	-	-	-	-	-	0	12
8 Emerson (R)	-	-	-	-	-	0	38
9 Hulshof (R)	-	-	-	-	-	0	12
<b>MONTANA</b>							
AL Rehberg (R)	-	-	-	-	-	0	12
<b>NEBRASKA</b>							
1 Fortenberry (R)	-	-	-	-	-	0	12
2 Terry (R)	-	-	-	-	-	0	25
3 Osborne (R)	-	-	-	-	-	0	12
<b>NEVADA</b>							
1 Berkley (D)	+	+	+	+	+	100	100*
2 Gibbons (R)	-	-	-	-	-	0	38
3 Porter (R)	-	-	-	-	-	0	12
<b>NEW HAMPSHIRE</b>							
1 Bradley (R)	-	-	-	+	-	20	12
2 Bass (R)	-	-	-	+	+	40	29*
<b>NEW JERSEY</b>							
1 Andrews (D)	+	+	+	+	+	100	100
2 LoBiondo (R)	-	-	-	+	-	20	25
3 Saxton (R)	-	-	-	+	-	20	12
4 Smith, C. (R)	+	+	+	+	-	60	50
5 Garrett (R)	-	+	-	-	-	20	0
6 Pallone (D)	+	+	+	+	+	100	100
7 Ferguson (R)	-	-	-	+	-	20	25
8 Pascarell (D)	+	+	+	+	+	100	100
9 Rothman (D)	+	+	+	+	+	100	100*
10 Payne (D)	+	+	+	+	+	100	100
11 Frelinghuysen (R)	-	-	-	+	-	20	12
12 Holt (D)	+	+	+	+	+	100	100
<b>NEW MEXICO</b>							
1 Wilson, H. (R)	+	-	+	-	-	40	83*
2 Pearce (R)	-	-	-	-	-	0	12
3 Udall, T. (D)	+	+	+	+	+	100	100*
<b>NEW YORK</b>							
1 Bishop, T. (D)	+	+	+	+	+	100	100
2 Israel (D)	+	-	+	+	+	80	100
3 King, P. (R)	-	-	-	-	-	0	12
4 McCarthy, C. (D)	+	+	+	+	+	100	100
5 Ackerman (D)	+	+	+	+	+	100	100
6 Meeke, G. (D)	+	-	+	+	+	80	100
7 Crowley (D)	+	-	+	+	+	80	100*
8 Nadler (D)	+	+	+	+	+	100	100
9 Weiner (D)	+	+	+	+	+	100	100
10 Towns (D)	+	-	+	+	+	80	100*
11 Owens (D)	+	+	+	+	+	100	100
12 Velazquez (D)	+	-	+	+	+	80	100
13 Fossella (R)	-	-	-	-	-	0	12
14 Maloney (D)	+	+	+	+	+	100	100*
15 Rangel (D)	+	+	+	+	+	100	100
16 Serrano (D)	+	+	+	+	+	100	100
17 Engel (D)	+	+	+	+	+	100	100
18 Lowey (D)	+	+	+	+	+	100	100
19 Kelly (R)	-	+	-	+	-	40	12
20 Sweeney (R)	+	I	+	+	-	75*	25
21 McNulty (D)	+	+	+	+	-	80	88
22 Hinchey (D)	+	+	+	+	+	100	100
23 McHugh (R)	+	-	+	+	-	60	38
24 Boehlert (R)	-	-	-	+	+	40	25
25 Walsh (R)	-	-	-	+	-	20	12
26 Reynolds (R)	-	-	-	I	-	0*	12
27 Higgins (D)	+	-	+	+	+	80	100
28 Slaughter (D)	+	+	+	+	+	100	100
29 Kuhl (R)	-	-	-	+	-	20	12
<b>NORTH CAROLINA</b>							
1 Butterfield (D)	+	-	+	+	+	80	100*
2 Etheridge (D)	+	-	+	+	-	60	88
3 Jones, W. (R)	+	+	+	+	-	80	67*
4 Price, D. (D)	+	-	+	+	+	80	100

the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, several institutes at the National Institutes for Health and children's hospital graduate medical education. While the resolution contained a one-time funding level for influenza pandemic planning, funding for public health programs was more than \$1.1 billion below the amount needed to maintain the spending power of the 2006 levels. Passed 218-210: R 218-12; D 0-197; I 0-1.

**APHA opposed** passage of the House budget resolution. Not only did the resolution fail to provide needed increases in funding for critical public health programs, the measure called for significant cuts to effective programs that are already struggling to meet their objectives due to significant financial constraints. While APHA supported the one-time funding for influenza pandemic planning, the cuts to other public health and environmental programs contained in the budget were unacceptable. Relevant APHA policies: 200512, 200034, 20025 and others.

#### 4. Toxics Release Inventory (House roll call vote 165)

**H.R. 5386:** Department of the Interior, Environment, and Related Agencies Appropriations Act of 2007, May 18, 2006. Rep. Frank Pallone, D-N.J., Amendment 844 to prevent the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency from implementing plans to weaken toxic reporting requirements under the Toxics Release Inventory Program. In September 2005, EPA announced plans to weaken the toxics release program. The agency's proposal would have moved from annual to biennial reporting, leaving a gap every other year during which companies could pollute without reporting; allowed companies to release 10 times the amount of toxins before detailed reporting is required; and created a

See HOUSE VOTES, Page 14

**HOUSE VOTES,**  
Continued from Page 13

first-ever exemption on reporting on persistent bioaccumulative toxins such as lead and mercury. Adopted 231-187; R 48-172; D 182-15; I 1-0.

**APHA supported** the Pallone amendment. For 20 years, the Toxics Release Inventory Program has been an essential tool in alerting communities, workers, first responders and public health officials to the presence of chemicals and has provided critical assistance in dealing with highly hazardous situations. Emergency responders, workers, public health officials, environmentalists, community residents and federal and state officials routinely rely on the Toxics Release Inventory Program. From 1998 to 2003, the program documented a 2.8 billion pound reduction in the annual release and disposal of toxic chemicals. Relevant APHA policies: 20056, 20025, 9206 and others.

**5. Parental notification for abortions. (House roll call vote 479)**

**S. 403:** Child Custody Protection Act. Require parental consent to cross state lines to obtain abortion services for minors, Sept. 26, 2006. Passage of the bill that would make it a federal crime to transport a minor across state lines to obtain abortion services in an effort to circumvent state parental notification and consent laws. The bill provided an exception for cases where an abortion is necessary to save the life of the minor. Passed 264-153; R 215-9; D 49-143; I 0-1.

**APHA opposed** passage of the Child Custody Protection Act. Maintaining its long-standing commitment to freedom of reproductive choice and access to safe and legal abortion services, APHA opposes any legislative efforts to further impede access to legal reproductive services for minors. Relevant APHA policies: 200314, 7704 and others. ■

APHA Vote No. APHA Position: Yea/Nay	BUDGET RECONCILIATION FOOD LABELING RESTRICTIONS HEALTH, EDUCATION FUNDING TOXICS RELEASE INVENTORY ABORTION NOTIFICATION					2006	2005
	1	2	3	4	5		
	N	N	N	Y	N		
5 Foxx (R)	-	-	-	I	-	0*	0
6 Coble (R)	-	-	-	-	-	0	14*
7 McIntyre (D)	+	-	+	-	-	60	88
8 Hayes (R)	-	-	-	+	-	20	12
9 Myrick (R)	-	-	-	-	-	0	14*
10 McHenry (R)	-	-	-	-	-	0	12
11 Taylor, C. (R)	-	-	-	+	-	20	12
12 Watt (D)	+	-	+	+	+	80	100
13 Miller, B. (D)	+	-	+	+	+	80	100
<b>NORTH DAKOTA</b>							
AL Pomeroy (D)	+	+	+	+	-	80	88
<b>OHIO</b>							
1 Chabot (R)	-	-	-	-	-	0	12
2 Schmidt (R)	-	-	-	+	-	20	20
3 Turner (R)	-	-	-	-	-	0	12
4 Oxley (R)	-	-	-	-	-	0	12
5 Gillmor (R)	-	-	-	-	-	0	12
6 Strickland (D)	+	-	+	+	I	75*	88
7 Hobson (R)	-	-	-	-	-	0	12
8 Boehner (R)	-	-	-	-	-	0	12
9 Kaptur (D)	+	+	+	+	+	100	100
10 Kucinich (D)	+	+	+	+	+	100	100
11 Jones, S. (D)	+	-	+	+	+	80	100
12 Tiberi (R)	-	-	-	-	-	0	12
13 Brown, S. (D)	+	+	+	+	I	100*	100
14 LaTourette (R)	+	-	-	-	-	20	38
15 Pryce, D. (R)	-	-	-	-	-	0	12
16 Regula (R)	-	-	-	+	-	20	12
17 Ryan, T. (D)	+	-	+	+	-	60	88
18 Ney (R)	+	-	-	-	I	25*	38
<b>OKLAHOMA</b>							
1 Sullivan (R)	-	-	-	+	-	20	12
2 Boren (D)	+	-	+	-	-	40	88
3 Lucas (R)	-	-	-	-	-	0	12
4 Cole (R)	-	-	-	+	-	20	12
5 Istook (R)	I	-	-	-	I	0*	14*
<b>OREGON</b>							
1 Wu (D)	+	+	+	+	+	100	100
2 Walden (R)	-	-	-	+	-	20	12
3 Blumenauer (D)	I	+	+	+	+	100*	100*
4 DeFazio (D)	+	+	+	+	+	100	100
5 Hooley (D)	+	-	+	+	+	80	100
<b>PENNSYLVANIA</b>							
1 Brady, R. (D)	+	+	+	+	+	100	100
2 Fattah (D)	+	+	+	+	+	100	100
3 English (R)	-	-	-	+	-	20	12
4 Hart (R)	-	-	-	+	-	20	12
5 Peterson, J. (R)	-	-	-	-	-	0	12
6 Gerlach (R)	+	-	+	+	-	60	62
7 Weldon, C. (R)	-	-	-	+	-	20	25
8 Fitzpatrick (R)	-	+	+	+	-	60	38
9 Shuster (R)	-	-	-	-	-	0	12
10 Sherwood (R)	-	-	-	-	-	0	12
11 Kanjorski (D)	+	-	+	+	-	60	88
12 Murtha (D)	+	-	+	+	-	60	88
13 Schwartz (D)	+	+	+	+	+	100	100
14 Doyle (D)	+	-	+	+	-	60	88
15 Dent (R)	-	-	-	-	-	0	12
16 Pitts (R)	-	-	-	-	-	0	12
17 Holden (D)	+	-	+	+	-	60	88
18 Murphy, T. (R)	-	-	-	-	-	0	50
19 Platts (R)	-	-	-	+	-	20	50
<b>RHODE ISLAND</b>							
1 Kennedy, P. (D)	+	+	I	I	+	100*	100
2 Langevin (D)	+	+	+	+	-	80	88
<b>SOUTH CAROLINA</b>							
1 Brown, H. (R)	-	-	-	-	-	0	12
2 Wilson, J. (R)	-	-	-	-	-	0	12
3 Barrett (R)	-	-	-	-	-	0	12
4 Inglis (R)	-	-	-	+	-	20	12
5 Spratt (D)	+	-	+	+	-	60	88
6 Clyburn (D)	+	+	+	+	+	100	100
<b>SOUTH DAKOTA</b>							
AL Herseth (D)	+	-	+	+	+	80	100
<b>TENNESSEE</b>							
1 Jenkins (R)	-	-	-	-	-	0	12
2 Duncan (R)	-	-	-	-	-	0	12
3 Wamp (R)	-	-	-	-	-	0	12
4 Davis, L. (D)	+	-	+	-	-	40	88
5 Cooper (D)	+	+	+	+	-	80	88

APHA Vote No. APHA Position: Yea/Nay	BUDGET RECONCILIATION FOOD LABELING RESTRICTIONS HEALTH, EDUCATION FUNDING TOXICS RELEASE INVENTORY ABORTION NOTIFICATION					2006	2005
	1	2	3	4	5		
	N	N	N	Y	N		
6 Gordon (D)	+	-	+	+	-	60	88
7 Blackburn (R)	-	-	-	-	-	0	12
8 Tanner (D)	+	-	+	-	-	40	88
9 Ford (D)	+	+	+	+	I	100*	88
<b>TEXAS</b>							
1 Gohmert (R)	-	-	-	-	-	0	12
2 Poe (R)	-	-	-	-	-	0	12
3 Johnson, S. (R)	-	-	-	-	-	0	14*
4 Hall, R. (R)	-	-	-	-	-	0	12
5 Hensarling (R)	-	-	-	-	-	0	12
6 Barton (R)	-	-	-	-	-	0	0
7 Culberson (R)	-	-	-	-	-	0	12
8 Brady, K. (R)	-	-	-	-	-	0	0*
9 Green, A. (D)	+	-	+	+	+	80	100
10 McCaul (R)	-	-	-	-	-	0	12
11 Conaway (R)	-	-	-	-	-	0	0*
12 Granger (R)	-	-	-	-	-	0	12
13 Thornberry (R)	-	-	-	-	-	0	12
14 Paul (R)	+	+	I	+	+	75*	75
15 Hinojosa (D)	+	-	+	+	-	60	88
16 Reyes (D)	+	-	+	+	-	60	83*
17 Edwards (D)	+	-	+	+	-	40	88
18 Jackson-Lee, S. (D)	+	-	+	+	+	80	100
19 Neugebauer (R)	-	-	-	-	-	0	12
20 Gonzalez (D)	+	I	+	+	+	100*	100
21 Smith, L. (R)	-	-	-	-	-	0	12
22 DeLay (R)	-	-	-	-	I	0*	12
23 Bonilla (R)	-	-	-	-	-	0	12
24 Marchant (R)	-	-	-	-	-	0	12
25 Doggett (D)	+	+	+	+	+	100	100
26 Burgess (R)	-	-	-	-	-	0	12
27 Ortiz (D)	+	-	+	+	-	60	88
28 Cuellar (D)	+	-	+	+	-	40	88
29 Green, G. (D)	+	+	+	+	+	100	100
30 Johnson, E. (D)	+	-	+	+	+	80	100
31 Carter (R)	-	-	-	-	-	0	12
32 Sessions, P. (R)	-	-	-	-	-	0	12
<b>UTAH</b>							
1 Bishop, R. (R)	-	-	-	-	-	0	12
2 Matheson (D)	+	-	+	+	-	60	88
3 Cannon (R)	-	-	-	-	-	0	12
<b>VERMONT</b>							
AL Sanders (I)	+	+	+	+	+	100	100
<b>VIRGINIA</b>							
1 Davis, J. (R)	-	-	-	+	-	20	14*
2 Drake (R)	-	-	-	-	-	0	12
3 Scott, R. (D)	+	+	+	+	+	100	100
4 Forbes (R)	-	-	-	-	-	0	12
5 Goode (R)	-	-	+	-	-	20	25
6 Goodlatte (R)	-	-	-	-	-	0	12
7 Cantor (R)	-	-	-	-	-	0	12
8 Moran, James (D)	+	-	+	+	+	80	100
9 Boucher (D)	+	-	+	+	+	80	100
10 Wolf (R)	-	+	+	-	-	20	12
11 Davis, T. (R)	-	-	-	-	-	0	14*
<b>WASHINGTON</b>							
1 Inslee (D)	+	+	+	+	+	100	100
2 Larsen, R. (D)	+	-	+	+	+	80	100
3 Baird (D)	+	+	+	+	+	100	100
4 Hastings, D. (R)	-	-	-	-	-	0	12
5 McMorris (R)	-	-	-	-	-	0	12
6 Dicks (D)	+	+	+	+	+	100	100
7 McDermott (D)	+	+	+	+	+	100	100*
8 Reichert (R)	-	-	-	-	-	0	12
9 Smith, Adam (D)	+	+	+	+	+	100	100
<b>WEST VIRGINIA</b>							
1 Mollohan (D)	+	+	+	+	-	80	88
2 Capito (R)	-	-	-	+	-	20	12
3 Rahall (D)	+	+	+	+	-	80	88
<b>WISCONSIN</b>							
1 Ryan, P. (R)	-	-	-	-	-	0	12
2 Baldwin (D)	+	+	+	+	+	100	100
3 Kind (D)	+	+	+	+	+	100	100
4 Moore, G. (D)	+	+	+	+	+	100	100
5 Sensenbrenner (R)	-	-	-	-	-	0	0
6 Petri (R)	-	-	-	-	-	0	12
7 Obey (D)	+	+	+	+	-	80	88
8 Green, M. (R)	-	-	-	-	I	0*	25
<b>WYOMING</b>							
AL Cubin (R)	-	I	-	-	-	0*	12

## Five 2006 Senate votes examined

THE FOLLOWING are short explanations of five Senate votes taken in the U.S. Senate during the 109th Congress, second session, during calendar year 2006.

In italics is a short tag name given each vote for easy reference, followed by the official bill number, title of the legislation and a description of the actual vote taken, such as whether to add an amendment, delete a portion of the bill, table a motion, pass the legislation or other action. Within the explanation is the final tally of the vote and the tally by Republicans, Democrats and independents. A brief statement of APHA's stance and examples of relevant APHA policies follow the explanation.

The explanations are number-keyed to the columns of the voting tables, which show how each senator voted. The plus sign represents a vote in accord with APHA's position and the minus sign represents a vote against APHA's position.

### 1. Influenza funding (Senate roll call vote 56)

**S. Con. Res. 83:** Fiscal Year 2007 Congressional Budget Resolution. Create a reserve fund to pay for influenza planning and vaccine development, March 16, 2006. Sen. Richard Burr, R-N.C., Amendment 3114 to create a reserve fund to increase the budget and authorize funding to cover the financial impacts of legislation authorizing new spending for pandemic influenza planning and vaccine development. Adopted 99-1: R 55-0; D 43-1; I 1-0.

**APHA supported** the Burr amendment. The amendment added a provision to establish a reserve fund to provide the necessary funds to support advanced development and acquisition of needed drugs and vaccines, strengthen the public health infrastructure, increase surveillance and outbreak containment capabilities and improve public awareness of pandemic influenza preparedness planning. Relevant APHA policies: 20063, 20052.

### 2. Health and education funding (Senate roll call vote 58)

**S. Con. Res. 83:** Fiscal Year 2007 Congressional Budget Resolution. To increase funding for health and education programs, March 16, 2006. Sen. Arlen Specter, R-Pa., Amendment 2048 to allow for an additional \$7 billion in funding for health and education programs in the Senate Budget Resolution. Adopted 73-27: R 28-27; D 44-0; I 1-0.

**APHA supported** the Specter amendment. The Senate Budget Resolution for fiscal year 2007 contained significant cuts to health and education programs. The amendment, jointly offered by Sens. Arlen Specter, R-Pa., and Tom Harkin, D-Iowa, the chair and ranking member of the Labor, Health and Human Services, and Education Appropriations Subcommittee, respectively, added \$7 billion to health and education programs, bringing their totals up to the fiscal year 2005 funding levels. Relevant APHA policies: 20034.

### 3. Pandemic influenza vaccine funding (Senate roll call vote 107)

**H.R. 4939:** Fiscal Year 2006 Emergency Supplemental Appropriations Act for Defense, the Global War on Terror and Hurricane Recovery. Increase funding to compensate first responders, May 3, 2006. Sen. Edward Kennedy, D-Mass., Amendment 3688 to increase the Covered Countermeasures Process Fund by \$289 million to compensate first responders in the event they are harmed by an experimental pandemic flu vaccine. Adopted 53-46: R 10-45; D 42-1; I 1-0.

**APHA supported** the Kennedy amendment. The Covered Countermeasures Process Fund, if fully funded, provides a method for people who experience illness, disability or death as a result of the administration of a pandemic influenza countermeasure to receive compensation for their losses. APHA believes it is essential that there is capacity for populations at risk to receive vaccines and other countermeasures. However, that capacity cannot be guaranteed unless health care workers, first

See **SENATE VOTES**,  
Page 16

APHA Vote No. APHA Position: Yea/Nay	INFLUENZA PREPAREDNESS HEALTH, EDUCATION FUNDING		PANDEMIC INFLUENZA FUNDING DRUG IMPORTATION		2006	2005	
	1	2	3	4			5
<b>ALABAMA</b>							
Shelby (R)	+	-	-	+	-	40	20
Sessions, J. (R)	+	-	-	+	-	40	10
<b>ALASKA</b>							
Stevens (R)	+	+	-	-	-	40	11*
Murkowski (R)	+	+	-	+	-	60	20
<b>ARIZONA</b>							
McCain (R)	+	-	-	+	-	40	20
Kyl (R)	+	-	-	-	-	20	10
<b>ARKANSAS</b>							
Lincoln (D)	+	+	+	+	+	100	80
Pryor (D)	+	+	+	+	-	80	80
<b>CALIFORNIA</b>							
Feinstein (D)	+	+	+	+	I	100*	90
Boxer (D)	+	+	+	+	+	100	90
<b>COLORADO</b>							
Allard (R)	+	-	-	+	-	40	10
Salazar (D)	+	+	+	+	-	80	80

APHA Vote No. APHA Position: Yea/Nay	INFLUENZA PREPAREDNESS HEALTH, EDUCATION FUNDING		PANDEMIC INFLUENZA FUNDING DRUG IMPORTATION		2006	2005	
	1	2	3	4			5
<b>CONNECTICUT</b>							
Dodd (D)	+	+	+	+	+	100	90
Lieberman (D)	+	+	+	+	+	100	90
<b>DELAWARE</b>							
Biden (D)	+	+	+	+	+	100	90
Carper (D)	+	+	+	+	-	80	80
<b>FLORIDA</b>							
Nelson, Bill (D)	+	+	+	+	-	80	80
Martinez (R)	+	-	-	+	-	40	20
<b>GEORGIA</b>							
Chambliss (R)	+	-	-	-	-	20	10
Isakson (R)	+	-	-	-	-	20	10
<b>HAWAII</b>							
Inouye (D)	+	+	+	+	-	80	100*
Akaka (D)	+	+	+	+	+	100	90
<b>IDAHO</b>							
Craig (R)	+	-	-	+	-	40	10
Crapo (R)	+	-	-	-	-	20	20
<b>ILLINOIS</b>							
Durbin (D)	+	+	+	+	+	100	90
Obama (D)	+	+	+	+	+	100	80
<b>INDIANA</b>							
Lugar (R)	+	+	-	+	-	60	20
Bayh (D)	+	+	+	+	-	80	80
<b>IOWA</b>							
Grassley (R)	+	+	-	+	-	60	10
Harkin (D)	+	+	+	+	+	100	90
<b>KANSAS</b>							
Brownback (R)	+	-	-	+	-	40	20
Roberts (R)	+	+	+	-	-	60	10
<b>KENTUCKY</b>							
McConnell (R)	+	-	-	-	-	20	10
Bunning (R)	+	-	-	-	-	20	10
<b>LOUISIANA</b>							
Landrieu (D)	+	+	+	+	-	80	90
Vitter (R)	+	-	-	+	-	40	0*
<b>MAINE</b>							
Snowe (R)	+	+	+	+	+	100	80
Collins (R)	+	+	+	+	+	100	80
<b>MARYLAND</b>							
Sarbanes (D)	+	+	+	+	+	100	90
Mikulski (D)	+	+	+	+	+	100	90
<b>MASSACHUSETTS</b>							
Kennedy (D)	+	+	+	+	+	100	90
Kerry (D)	+	+	+	+	+	100	80
<b>MICHIGAN</b>							
Levin (D)	+	+	+	+	+	100	90
Stabenow (D)	+	+	+	+	+	100	80
<b>MINNESOTA</b>							
Dayton (D)	+	+	+	+	+	100	88*
Coleman (R)	+	+	+	-	-	60	38*
<b>MISSISSIPPI</b>							
Cochran (R)	+	+	-	-	-	40	10
Lott (R)	+	+	-	+	-	60	10
<b>MISSOURI</b>							
Bond (R)	+	-	-	-	-	20	10
Talent (R)	+	+	+	+	-	80	20
<b>MONTANA</b>							
Baucus (D)	+	+	+	+	+	100	60
Burns (R)	+	+	-	+	-	60	10
<b>NEBRASKA</b>							
Hagel (R)	+	+	-	-	-	40	10
Nelson, Ben (D)	+	+	-	+	-	60	50
<b>NEVADA</b>							
Reid (D)	+	+	+	+	-	80	90
Ensign (R)	+	-	-	-	-	20	30

**SENATE VOTES,**  
Continued from Page 15

responders and the general public know they have some protection against the worst-case scenario. Relevant APHA policies: 20063, 20052.

**4. Prescription drug importation (Senate roll call vote 191)**

**H.R. 5441:** Fiscal Year 2007 Department of Homeland Security Appropriations Act, 2007. Allow for drug importation from Canada, July 11, 2006. Sen. David Vitter, R-La., Amendment 4548 to prohibit funding in the bill from being used by the U.S. Customs and Border Security to prevent individuals from importing drugs from Canada that comply with U.S. drug safety laws. Adopted 68-32; R 23-32; D 44-0; I 1-0.

**APHA supported** the Vitter amendment. To address the skyrocketing costs of prescription drugs in the United States, APHA supports the importation of Food and Drug Administration-approved drugs from qualified countries as a way to approximate price parity of prescription drugs with other countries. Relevant APHA policy: 20006.

**5. Parental notification for abortions (Senate roll call vote 216)**

**S. 403:** Child Custody Protection Act. Require parental consent to cross state lines to obtain abortion services for minors, July 25, 2006. Passage of the bill that would make it a federal crime to transport a minor across state lines to obtain abortion services in an effort to circumvent state parental notification and consent laws. The bill provided an exception for cases where an abortion is necessary to save the life of the minor. Passed 65-34; R 51-4; D 14-29; I 0-1.

**APHA opposed** the Child Custody Protection Act. Maintaining its long-standing commitment to freedom of reproductive choice and access to safe and legal abortion services, APHA opposes legislative efforts to further impede access to legal reproductive services for minors. Relevant APHA policies: 200314, 7704 and others. ■

APHA Vote No. APHA Position: Yea/Nay	INFLUENZA PREPAREDNESS		HEALTH, EDUCATION FUNDING		PANDEMIC INFLUENZA FUNDING		DRUG IMPORTATION		ABORTION NOTIFICATION		2006	2005
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10		
<b>NEW HAMPSHIRE</b>												
Gregg (R)	+	-	-	-	-						20	10
Sununu (R)	+	-	-	-	-						20	10
<b>NEW JERSEY</b>												
Lautenberg (D)	+	+	+	+	+						100	90
Menendez (D)	+	+	+	+	+						100	100
<b>NEW MEXICO</b>												
Domenici (R)	+	+	-	-	-						40	25*
Bingaman (D)	+	+	+	+	+						100	80
<b>NEW YORK</b>												
Schumer (D)	+	+	+	+	+						100	80
Clinton (D)	+	+	+	+	+						100	80
<b>NORTH CAROLINA</b>												
Dole (R)	+	+	-	-	-						40	30
Burr (R)	+	-	-	-	-						20	10
<b>NORTH DAKOTA</b>												
Conrad (D)	+	+	+	+	-						80	80
Dorgan (D)	+	+	+	+	-						80	80
<b>OHIO</b>												
DeWine (R)	+	+	+	-	-						60	60
Voinovich (R)	+	+	-	-	-						40	10
<b>OKLAHOMA</b>												
Inhofe (R)	+	-	-	+	-						40	30
Coburn (R)	+	-	-	+	-						40	30
<b>OREGON</b>												
Wyden (D)	+	+	+	+	+						100	90
Smith (R)	+	+	+	+	-						80	30
<b>PENNSYLVANIA</b>												
Specter (R)	+	+	+	+	+						100	50
Santorum (R)	+	+	-	-	-						40	20
<b>RHODE ISLAND</b>												
Reed (D)	+	+	+	+	+						100	80
Chafee (R)	+	+	+	+	+						100	80
<b>SOUTH CAROLINA</b>												
Graham (R)	+	-	-	-	-						20	20
DeMint (R)	+	-	-	+	-						40	10
<b>SOUTH DAKOTA</b>												
Johnson, Tim (D)	+	+	+	+	-						80	80
Thune (R)	+	+	-	+	-						60	20
<b>TENNESSEE</b>												
Frist (R)	+	+	-	-	-						40	20
Alexander (R)	+	+	-	-	-						40	10
<b>TEXAS</b>												
Hutchison (R)	+	+	-	-	-						40	30
Cornyn (R)	+	-	-	-	-						20	20
<b>UTAH</b>												
Hatch (R)	+	+	+	-	-						60	20
Bennett (R)	+	+	-	-	-						40	20
<b>VERMONT</b>												
Leahy (D)	+	+	+	+	+						100	80
Jeffords (I)	+	+	+	+	+						100	70
<b>VIRGINIA</b>												
Warner (R)	+	+	-	-	-						40	30
Allen (R)	+	-	-	-	-						20	20
<b>WASHINGTON</b>												
Murray (D)	+	+	+	+	+						100	90
Cantwell (D)	+	+	+	+	+						100	90
<b>WEST VIRGINIA</b>												
Byrd (D)	-	+	+	+	-						60	90
Rockefeller (D)	+	+	I	+	+						100*	100*
<b>WISCONSIN</b>												
Kohl (D)	+	+	+	+	-						80	80
Feingold (D)	+	+	+	+	+						100	80
<b>WYOMING</b>												
Thomas (R)	+	-	-	-	-						20	10
Enzi (R)	+	-	-	-	-						20	10

# Call for Proposals



**APHA 135TH ANNUAL MEETING AND EXPO**  
**NOVEMBER 3-7, 2007 WASHINGTON, DC**

## 2007 APHA Learning Institute (Formerly Continuing Education Institutes)

The 135th Annual Meeting and Exposition in Washington, DC promises to be an intellectually stimulating and informative meeting. The meeting theme, "Politics, Policy and Public Health" will bring public health practitioners, researchers and policymakers to the Nation's Capital to address pressing health issues.

APHA's Learning and Professional Development Programs (LPDP) Unit invites the submission of proposal(s) for the next APHA Learning Institute (APHA-LI) courses to be held immediately prior to APHA's Annual Meeting. These half-day or full day educational opportunities held on November 3 & 4 afford learners the chance to participate in intense and interactive educational experiences that are not generally available through annual meeting scientific sessions.

**Proposals should not constitute a product advertisement.**

### AREA OF INTEREST

APHA encourages APHA-LI course submissions that complement the 2007 Annual Meeting theme "Politics, Policy and Public Health," and address current or emerging issues in public health education, policy, practice and/or research. Of importance are learning opportunities that promote skills enhancement in public health such as health surveillance, measurement of health status and basic epidemiological concepts. APHA also welcomes proposals that relate to any of the APHA priority areas: Access to Care, Health Disparities, Public Health Infrastructure

(Detailed policy papers are available at <http://www.apha.org/legislative/legislative/index.htm#priority>.)

### ELECTRONIC PROPOSAL SUBMISSIONS

Guidelines and complete instructions on submitting proposals can be found at: <http://www.apha.org/education> or <http://apha.org/meetings>.

Presenters will submit proposals through the submission website at <http://apha.confex.com/apha/135am/oasys.epl> beginning December 19, 2006. Problems with proposal submission should be addressed to *Andrey Gurvich* at [agurvich@confex.com](mailto:agurvich@confex.com).

### SELECTION PROCESS

Review and selection will be made by the APHA Education Board Proposal Review Committee and approved by the APHA Continuing Professional Education Subcommittee. Selection criteria include relevance to conference theme; multi-sector and/or interdisciplinary initiatives; successful partnerships; innovative evidenced-based approaches for education; and policy and program development. The proposals selected will reflect all aspects of public health, demographic considerations, and innovative approaches to public health from policy, program and research perspectives.

**DEADLINE FOR PROPOSAL SUBMISSION:**  
**5:00 PM EST, Friday, March 2, 2007.**

### NOTIFICATIONS

Selection and notification will take place by Friday, April 6, 2007. Successful authors must indicate their intent to participate by Friday, April 13, 2007 in order to be included in the advance brochure.

For more information, email [apha.education@apha.org](mailto:apha.education@apha.org).



**American Public Health Association**  
*Protect, Prevent, Live Well*